

# PLURIHARMONIC MAPPINGS AND LINEARLY CONNECTED DOMAINS IN $\mathbb{C}^n$

MARTIN CHUAQUI, HIDETAKA HAMADA, RODRIGO HERNÁNDEZ,  
AND GABRIELA KOHR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain certain sufficient conditions for the univalence of pluriharmonic mappings defined in the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The results are generalizations of conditions of Chuaqui and Hernández that relate the univalence of planar harmonic mappings with linearly connected domains, and show how such domains can play a role in questions regarding injectivity in higher dimensions. In addition, we extend recent work of Hernández and Martín on a shear type construction for planar harmonic mappings, by adapting the concept of stable univalence to pluriharmonic mappings of the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{C}^n$  denote the space of  $n$  complex variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  with the Euclidean inner product  $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \bar{w}_j$  and the Euclidean norm  $\|z\| = \langle z, z \rangle^{1/2}$ . The open ball  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| < r\}$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{B}_r^n$  and the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}_1^n$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . In the case of one complex variable,  $\mathbb{B}^1$  is the usual unit disc  $\mathbb{U}$ .

Let  $L(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m)$  denote the space of linear operators from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^m$  with the standard operator norm. The space  $L(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$  is denoted by  $L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Also, let  $I_n$  be the identity in  $L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . If  $\Omega$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $H(\Omega)$  be the set of holomorphic mappings from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $\Omega$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which contains the origin and  $f \in H(\Omega)$ , we say that  $f$  is normalized if  $f(0) = 0$  and  $Df(0) = I_n$ . The family of normalized biholomorphic mappings on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  will be denoted by  $S(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . In the case  $n = 1$ ,  $S(\mathbb{B}^1)$  is denoted by  $S$ , which is the usual family of normalized univalent functions on  $\mathbb{U}$ . If  $f \in H(\mathbb{B}^n)$ , we say that  $f$  is locally biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  if  $\det Df(z) \neq 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , where  $Df(z)$  is the complex Jacobian matrix of  $f$  at  $z$ . Let  $\mathcal{LS}_n$  be the set of normalized locally biholomorphic mappings on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

A complex-valued function  $f$  of class  $C^2$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  is said to be pluriharmonic if its restriction to every complex line is harmonic, which is equivalent to the fact that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} f(z) = 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{B}^n, \quad \forall j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32H02; Secondary 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Harmonic mapping; linearly connected domain; pluriharmonic mapping; stable univalent mapping; univalent mapping.

M. Chuaqui and R. Hernández were partially supported by Fondecyt Grants #1110321 and #1110160 (Chile).

H. Hamada was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22540213.

G. Kohr was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0899.

Every pluriharmonic mapping  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  can be written as  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where  $g, h \in H(\mathbb{B}^n)$ , and this representation is unique if  $g(0) = 0$ .

If  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is a pluriharmonic mapping such that  $h$  is locally biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , we denote by  $J_f$  the real Jacobian of  $f$  and  $\omega_f(z) = Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Then

$$J_f(z) = \det \begin{pmatrix} Dh(z) & \overline{Dg(z)} \\ Dg(z) & \overline{Dh(z)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}^n,$$

and it is elementary to deduce that

$$J_f(z) = |\det Dh(z)|^2 \det(I_n - \omega_f(z)\overline{\omega_f(z)}), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}^n.$$

Hence  $f$  is sense-preserving, i.e.,  $J_f(z) > 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , if and only if  $h$  is locally biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\det(I_n - \omega_f(z)\overline{\omega_f(z)}) > 0$ , for all  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . In the case of one complex variable,  $\omega_f = g'/h'$  is the dilatation of  $f$ . It is known that  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is locally univalent and sense-preserving on  $\mathbb{U}$  if and only if  $|g'(z)| < |h'(z)|$  for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , i.e.,  $h$  is locally univalent on  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $|\omega_f(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . In dimension  $n \geq 2$ , if  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is a pluriharmonic mapping such that  $h$  is locally biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , then  $f$  is a sense-preserving locally univalent mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  (cf. [6, Theorem 5]).

The following notion will be useful in the next section (see e.g. [11], for  $n = 1$ ).

**Definition 1.1.** A domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is called linearly connected if there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that any two points  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$  can be connected by a smooth curve  $\gamma \subset \Omega$  with length  $\ell(\gamma) \leq M\|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|$ .

*Remark 1.2.* It is clear that  $M \geq 1$  in Definition 1.1 and that any convex domain is linearly connected with constant  $M = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $\Omega_j \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is a linearly connected domain with constant  $M_j > 0$ , then it is easy to see that  $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^n \Omega_j$  is a linearly connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with constant  $M = \sqrt{n} \max_{j=1, \dots, n} M_j$ .

In the case of one complex variable, every bounded linearly connected domain  $\Omega$  is a Jordan domain (see [11]). Chuaqui and Hernández [3] proved that if  $h \in H(\mathbb{U})$  is a univalent function, then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that each harmonic function  $f = h + \bar{g}$  with  $|\omega_f| < c$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{U}$  if and only if  $h(\mathbb{U})$  is a linearly connected domain.

In this paper, we investigate linear connectivity and its role in the study of certain sufficient conditions of univalence for pluriharmonic mappings of  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , thereby finding  $n$ -dimensional analogues of the results in [3]. Other necessary and sufficient conditions of univalence for pluriharmonic mappings of  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  may be found in [6]. On the other hand, Hernández and Martín [8] obtained certain necessary and sufficient conditions for harmonic mappings of the unit disc  $\mathbb{U}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  to be stable univalent. We generalize some of these results to the case of pluriharmonic mappings of  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . To this end, we prove that there is an equivalence between stable pluriharmonic univalence and stable analytic univalence on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Also, we prove the equivalence between stable pluriharmonic strongly close-to-convexity and stable analytic close-to-convexity. Other necessary and sufficient conditions of univalence for harmonic and pluriharmonic mappings may be found in [2] and [6].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We begin this section with the following result. In the case of one complex variable, see [3] (see also [1], for related results in the case  $n = 1$ ).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a pluriharmonic mapping such that  $h$  is biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $h(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain with constant  $M \geq 1$ . Assume that  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1/M$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Then  $f$  is univalent and sense-preserving on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Moreover, if  $\|\omega_f(z)\| \leq c < 1/M$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , then  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists two distinct points  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{B}^n$  such that  $f(z_1) = f(z_2)$ , or equivalently

$$0 = f(z_1) - f(z_2) = h(z_1) - h(z_2) + \overline{(g(z_1) - g(z_2))} = w_1 - w_2 + \overline{\varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2)},$$

where  $w_j = h(z_j)$  for  $j = 1, 2$ , and  $\varphi = g \circ h^{-1}$ . This implies that

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2) = \overline{w_2 - w_1}.$$

Clearly,  $w_1 \neq w_2$ , since  $h$  is injective on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Let  $\Gamma \subset h(\mathbb{B}^n)$  be a smooth curve joining  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  such that  $\ell(\Gamma) \leq M\|w_1 - w_2\|$ . Then, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|\varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 D\varphi(w(t))(w'(t))dt \right\| \leq \int_0^1 \|D\varphi(w(t))\| \cdot \|w'(t)\| dt,$$

where  $w(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , is a parametrization of  $\Gamma$ . On the other hand, since  $\varphi = g \circ h^{-1}$ , it follows that

$$D\varphi(w) = Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1} = \omega_f(z), \quad z = h^{-1}(w) \in \mathbb{B}^n.$$

Hence, in view of (2.2) and the fact that  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1/M$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , we deduce that

$$\|\varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2)\| < \frac{1}{M} \int_0^1 \|w'(t)\| dt = \frac{1}{M} \ell(\Gamma) \leq \|w_1 - w_2\|.$$

However, this is a contradiction to (2.1). Hence,  $f$  must be univalent, as desired.

Next, assume that  $\|\omega_f(z)\| \leq c < 1/M$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Let  $\Delta = h(\mathbb{B}^n)$  and  $\Omega = f(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . Also, let  $\psi(w) = w + \overline{\varphi(w)}$  for  $w \in \Delta$ , where  $\varphi = g \circ h^{-1}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\psi(w) = f(z)$  for  $w = h(z) \in \Delta$ , and thus  $\psi(\Delta) = \Omega$ . Now, let  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be two distinct points in  $\Omega$ . Then  $\omega_j = \psi(w_j)$ , where  $w_j \in \Delta$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Since  $\Delta$  is linearly connected with constant  $M$ , there exists a smooth curve  $\gamma$  contained in  $\Delta$  such that  $\ell(\gamma) \leq M\|w_1 - w_2\|$ . Also, let  $\Gamma = \psi(\gamma)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is also a smooth curve in  $\Omega$  between  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ . We prove that

$$(2.3) \quad \ell(\Gamma) \leq \frac{(1+c)M}{1-cM} \|w_1 - w_2\|.$$

Since  $D_w\psi(w) = I_n$  and  $D_{\bar{w}}\psi(w) = \overline{\omega_f(z)}$  for  $w = h(z) \in h(\mathbb{B}^n)$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\Gamma) &= \int_{\Gamma} \|du\| = \int_{\gamma} \|d\psi(w)\| = \int_{\gamma} \|D_w\psi(w)dw + D_{\bar{w}}\psi(w)d\bar{w}\| \\ &\leq \int_{\gamma} (\|I_n\| + \|\omega_f(z)\|) \|dw\| \leq (1+c) \int_{\gamma} \|dw\| = (1+c)\ell(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\ell(\gamma) \leq M\|w_1 - w_2\|$ , we obtain that

$$(2.4) \quad \ell(\Gamma) \leq M(1+c)\|w_1 - w_2\|.$$

On the other hand, using the fact that

$$\omega_1 - \omega_2 = w_1 - w_2 + \overline{\varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2)},$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\| &\geq \|w_1 - w_2\| - \int_{\gamma} \|D\varphi(w)dw\| \\ &\geq \|w_1 - w_2\| - \int_{\gamma} \|\omega_f(z)\| \|dw\| \geq \|w_1 - w_2\| - c \int_{\gamma} \|dw\| \\ &= \|w_1 - w_2\| - c\ell(\gamma) \geq (1 - cM)\|w_1 - w_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in view of the above relation and (2.4), we obtain that

$$\ell(\Gamma) \leq M(1 + c)\|w_1 - w_2\| \leq \frac{M(1 + c)}{1 - cM}\|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|.$$

Hence, the relation (2.3) follows, as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In view of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result (see [6, Theorem 6]). In the case of one complex variable, this result was obtained in [10], [4] and [3].

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $h : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a convex (biholomorphic) mapping, and let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  be a pluriharmonic mapping such that  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Then  $f$  is a sense-preserving univalent mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Moreover, if  $\|\omega_f(z)\| \leq c < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , then  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

The following result provides a sufficient condition of univalence for the analytic part of a pluriharmonic mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  whose image is a linearly connected domain (see [3], in the case  $n = 1$ ).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a univalent pluriharmonic mapping such that  $h$  is locally biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Assume that  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with constant  $M \geq 1$ , and  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1/(1 + M)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Then  $h$  is biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .*

*Proof.* First, we observe that  $f$  is a sense-preserving mapping, since  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1/(1 + M) < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Suppose that there exist two distinct points  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{B}^n$  such that  $h(z_1) = h(z_2)$ . Then  $f(z_1) - f(z_2) = \overline{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}$ , i.e.

$$(2.5) \quad w_1 - w_2 = \overline{\varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2)},$$

where  $w_j = f(z_j)$  and  $\varphi = g \circ f^{-1}$ . Clearly,  $w_1 \neq w_2$ , and since  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain with constant  $M$ , there exists a smooth curve  $\Gamma \subset f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  between  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  such that  $\ell(\Gamma) \leq M\|w_1 - w_2\|$ . In view of (2.5) and the above relation, we obtain that

$$(2.6) \quad \|w_1 - w_2\| = \|\varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2)\| = \left\| \int_{\Gamma} D_w \varphi(w)dw + D_{\bar{w}} \varphi(w)d\bar{w} \right\|.$$

It is easy to see that

$$D_w \varphi(w) = Dg(z)D_w f^{-1}(w) \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\bar{w}} \varphi(w) = Dg(z)D_{\bar{w}} f^{-1}(w),$$

for all  $w = f(z) \in f(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . Also, since  $(f^{-1} \circ f)(z) = z$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} D_w f^{-1}(w) D_h(z) + D_{\bar{w}} f^{-1}(w) Dg(z) &= I_n \\ D_w f^{-1}(w) Dg(z) + D_{\bar{w}} f^{-1}(w) D_h(z) &= \mathbf{0}_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , it follows that  $I_n - \omega_f(z)\overline{\omega_f(z)}$  is an invertible operator. In view of the above relations, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} D_w f^{-1}(w) &= [Dh(z)]^{-1} \left( I_n - \overline{Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \\ &= [Dh(z)]^{-1} \left( I_n - \overline{\omega_f(z)\omega_f(z)} \right)^{-1}, \quad w = f(z) \in f(\mathbb{B}^n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{\bar{w}} f^{-1}(w) \\ &= -[Dh(z)]^{-1} \left( I_n - \overline{Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \overline{Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}} \\ &= -[Dh(z)]^{-1} \left( I_n - \overline{\omega_f(z)\omega_f(z)} \right)^{-1} \overline{\omega_f(z)}, \quad w = f(z) \in f(\mathbb{B}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the above relations, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad &\|\varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2)\| \leq \\ &\leq \int_{\Gamma} \|Dg(f^{-1}(w))D_w f^{-1}(w)dw + Dg(f^{-1}(w))D_{\bar{w}} f^{-1}(w)d\bar{w}\| \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \|\omega_f(f^{-1}(w))(I_n - \overline{\omega_f(f^{-1}(w))\omega_f(f^{-1}(w))})^{-1}(I_n dw - \overline{\omega_f(f^{-1}(w))})d\bar{w}\| \\ &\leq \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\|\omega_f(f^{-1}(w))\|}{1 - \|\omega_f(f^{-1}(w))\|^2} (1 + \|\omega_f(f^{-1}(w))\|) \|dw\| = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\|\omega_f(f^{-1}(w))\| \cdot \|dw\|}{1 - \|\omega_f(f^{-1}(w))\|} \\ &< \frac{1/(1+M)}{1 - 1/(1+M)} \int_{\Gamma} \|dw\| = \frac{1}{M} \ell(\Gamma) \leq \|w_1 - w_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

However, this is a contradiction to (2.6). Hence,  $h$  must be univalent, as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In view of Theorem 2.3, we deduce the following particular case. This result is an  $n$ -dimensional version of [3, Theorem 2].

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a univalent pluriharmonic mapping such that  $h$  is locally biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Assume that  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1/2$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Then  $h$  is biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .*

We next prove that under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, if  $\|\omega_f(z)\| \leq c$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , for some constant  $c < 1/(1+M)$ , then  $h(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain (see [3], in the case  $n = 1$ ). We have

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a univalent pluriharmonic mapping such that  $h$  is locally biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Assume that  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain with constant  $M \geq 1$  and  $\|\omega_f(z)\| \leq c$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , where  $c < 1/(1+M)$ . Then  $h$  maps  $\mathbb{B}^n$  onto a linearly connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 2.3, we deduce that  $h$  is biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Let  $\Delta = h(\mathbb{B}^n)$  and  $\Omega = f(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . Also, let  $\psi(w) = w - \overline{\varphi(w)}$  for  $w \in \Omega$ , where  $\varphi = g \circ f^{-1}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\psi(w) = h(z)$  for  $w = f(z) \in \Omega$ , and thus  $\psi(\Omega) = \Delta$ . Now, let  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be two distinct points in  $\Delta$ . Then  $\omega_j = \psi(w_j)$ , where  $w_j \in \Omega$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Since  $\Omega$  is linearly connected with constant  $M$ , there exists a smooth

curve  $\gamma$  contained in  $\Omega$  such that  $\ell(\gamma) \leq M\|w_1 - w_2\|$ . Also, let  $\Gamma = \psi(\gamma)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is also a smooth curve in  $\Delta$  between  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ . We prove that

$$(2.8) \quad \ell(\Gamma) \leq \frac{M}{1 - c(1 + M)}\|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|.$$

To this end, we use arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 2.3, to deduce the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} D_w\psi(w) &= I_n + \\ &+ \overline{Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}} \left( I_n - Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1} \overline{Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}} \right)^{-1} Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1} \\ &= I_n + \overline{\omega_f(z)} \left( I_n - \omega_f(z) \overline{\omega_f(z)} \right)^{-1} \omega_f(z), \quad w = f(z) \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\bar{w}}\psi(w) &= -\overline{D_w\psi(w)} \\ &= -\overline{Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}} \left( I_n - Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1} \overline{Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \\ &= -\overline{\omega_f(z)} \left( I_n - \omega_f(z) \overline{\omega_f(z)} \right)^{-1}, \quad w = f(z) \in f(\mathbb{B}^n). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the above relations, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\Gamma) &= \int_{\Gamma} \|du\| = \int_{\gamma} \|d\psi(w)\| = \int_{\gamma} \|D_w\psi(w)dw + D_{\bar{w}}\psi(w)d\bar{w}\| \\ &\leq \int_{\gamma} \|dw\| + \int_{\gamma} \|\omega_f(z)\| \frac{\|dw\|}{1 - \|\omega_f(z)\|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - c} \int_{\gamma} \|dw\| = \frac{1}{1 - c} \ell(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\ell(\gamma) \leq M\|w_1 - w_2\|$ , we obtain that

$$(2.9) \quad \ell(\Gamma) \leq \frac{M}{1 - c}\|w_1 - w_2\|.$$

On the other hand, using the fact that

$$\omega_1 - \omega_2 = w_1 - w_2 - \overline{\varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2)},$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\| &\geq \|w_1 - w_2\| - \int_{\gamma} \|d\varphi(w)\| \\ &\geq \|w_1 - w_2\| - \int_{\gamma} \|D_w\varphi(w)dw + D_{\bar{w}}\varphi(w)d\bar{w}\| \\ &\geq \|w_1 - w_2\| - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\|\omega_f(z)\|}{1 - \|\omega_f(z)\|} \|dw\| \geq \|w_1 - w_2\| - \frac{c}{1 - c} \int_{\gamma} \|dw\| \\ &= \|w_1 - w_2\| - \frac{c}{1 - c} \ell(\gamma) \geq \frac{1 - c(1 + M)}{1 - c} \|w_1 - w_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in view of the above relation and (2.9), we obtain that

$$\ell(\Gamma) \leq \frac{M}{1 - c}\|w_1 - w_2\| \leq \frac{M}{1 - c(1 + M)}\|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|.$$

Hence, the relation (2.8) follows, as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In view of Theorem 2.5, we obtain the following particular case.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a univalent pluriharmonic mapping such that  $h$  is locally biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Assume that  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\|\omega_f(z)\| \leq c$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , where  $c < 1/2$ . Then  $h$  maps  $\mathbb{B}^n$  onto a linearly connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

*Remark 2.7.* Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a sense-preserving univalent pluriharmonic mapping such that  $h$  is locally biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Assume that  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It would be interesting to see if  $h$  is biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . In the case of one complex variable, this property is true in view of [9, Theorem 2.1].

The following result provides a sufficient condition for a pluriharmonic mapping  $f$  of  $\mathbb{B}^n$  onto a linearly connected domain to be stable univalent in the sense of Definition 3.1. This result is a generalization of [3, Theorem 3].

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a univalent pluriharmonic mapping. Assume that  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain with constant  $M \geq 1$ . If  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1/(1 + 2M)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , then  $f_A = h + A\bar{g}$  is univalent and sense-preserving on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , for each  $A \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with  $\|A\| \leq 1$ . Moreover, if  $\|\omega_f(z)\| \leq c < 1/(1 + 2M)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , then  $f_A(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $A \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$  such that  $\|A\| \leq 1$  and  $A \neq I_n$ . Since  $\|\omega_{f_A}(z)\| \leq \|\omega_f(z)\| < 1/(1 + 2M)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , we deduce that  $f$  and  $f_A$  are sense-preserving mappings. Suppose that there exist two distinct points  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{B}^n$  such that  $f_A(z_1) = f_A(z_2)$ . This relation implies that

$$f(z_1) - f(z_2) = (I_n - A)\overline{(g(z_1) - g(z_2))}.$$

Let  $w_1 = f(z_1)$  and  $w_2 = f(z_2)$ . Then

$$w_1 - w_2 = (I_n - A)\overline{(\varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2))}, \quad \varphi = g \circ f^{-1}.$$

As in the proof of (2.7), we deduce that

$$(2.10) \quad \|w_1 - w_2\| \leq \frac{C}{1 - C} 2M \|w_1 - w_2\|,$$

where  $C = \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(\Gamma)} \|\omega_f(z)\|$ . On the other hand, since  $C < 1/(1 + 2M)$ , the relation (2.10) holds if and only if  $w_1 = w_2$ , which implies that  $z_1 = z_2$ . However, this is a contradiction. Hence  $f_A$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , as desired.

Next, we assume that  $\|\omega_f(z)\| \leq c < 1/(1 + 2M)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . By Theorem 2.5 and its proof,  $h(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain with constant

$$\frac{M}{1 - (1 + M)/(1 + 2M)} = 1 + 2M.$$

By applying Theorem 2.1 to the mapping  $f_A$ , we obtain that  $f_A(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a univalent pluriharmonic mapping. Assume that  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a convex domain. If  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1/3$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , then  $f_A = h + A\bar{g}$  is univalent and sense-preserving on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , for each  $A \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with  $\|A\| \leq 1$ . Moreover, if  $\|\omega_f(z)\| \leq c < 1/3$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , then  $f_A(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a linearly connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

Before to give the following remarks, we recall that if  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a harmonic mapping, then  $f$  is called close-to-convex if  $f$  is univalent and  $f(\mathbb{U})$  is a close-to-convex domain, i.e.,  $\mathbb{C} \setminus f(\mathbb{U})$  is a union of non-crossing half-lines. It is well

known in the analytic case that  $f$  is close-to-convex on  $\mathbb{U}$  if and only if there exists a convex (univalent) function  $h$  such that

$$\Re \left[ \frac{f'(z)}{h'(z)} \right] > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

*Remark 2.10.* Kalaj [9] (compare [3, Theorem 3]) proved that if  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is a sense-preserving univalent harmonic mapping of the unit disc  $\mathbb{U}$  onto a convex domain, then  $f_a = h + a\bar{g}$  is close-to-convex, and thus univalent, for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|a| \leq 1$ . In addition, if  $|a| < 1$ , then  $f_a$  is  $|a|$ -quasiconformal.

*Remark 2.11.* Let  $n \geq 2$  and let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a univalent pluriharmonic mapping. Assume that  $f(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is a convex domain and  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . It would be interesting to see if  $f_A = h + A\bar{g}$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , for all  $A \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$  such that  $\|A\| \leq 1$ , and if  $f_A$  is quasiconformal on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , whenever  $\|A\| < 1$ , respectively.

### 3. STABLE UNIVALENT MAPPINGS ON $\mathbb{B}^n$

In this section we investigate the connection between stable pluriharmonic univalent mappings and stable analytic univalent mappings. In the case of one complex variable, this notion was considered in [8].

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a sense preserving pluriharmonic mapping. We say that  $f$  is stable univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  if all mappings  $f_A = h + A\bar{g}$ , where  $A$  is a unitary matrix, are univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

We also say that the analytic mapping  $h + g$  is stable univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  if all mappings  $F_A = h + Ag$ , where  $A$  is a unitary matrix, are univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *The sense preserving pluriharmonic mapping  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is stable pluriharmonic univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  if and only if the analytic mapping  $F = h + g$  is stable analytic univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is stable pluriharmonic univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . If  $F = h + g$  is not stable analytic univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , then there exists a unitary matrix  $A$  such that  $F_A = h + Ag$  is not univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Then there exist distinct points  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{B}^n$  such that  $F_A(z_1) = F_A(z_2)$ . Therefore, we have

$$h(z_1) - h(z_2) = A(g(z_2) - g(z_1)).$$

If  $h(z_1) = h(z_2)$ , then we have  $g(z_1) = g(z_2)$ , and this implies that  $f$  is not univalent. Hence  $h(z_1) \neq h(z_2)$ . Then there exists a unitary matrix  $V$  such that

$$V(h(z_1) - h(z_2)) = VA(g(z_2) - g(z_1))$$

is a real vector. Then we have

$$V(h(z_1) - h(z_2)) = \overline{VA}(g(z_2) - g(z_1)).$$

This implies that  $f_{V^{-1}\overline{VA}}(z_1) = f_{V^{-1}\overline{VA}}(z_2)$ . However, this is a contradiction to the fact that  $f_{V^{-1}\overline{VA}}$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Hence  $F = h + g$  is stable analytic univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , as desired.

The converse part can be proved by an argument similar to the above.  $\square$

In view of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following sufficient condition for a sense-preserving pluriharmonic mapping to be univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  (compare [6]).



**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a sense-preserving pluriharmonic mapping. If  $h + Ag$  is biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , for each unitary matrix  $A$ , then  $f$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, since  $h + Ag$  is biholomorphic for each unitary matrix  $A$ , it follows that  $h + g$  is stable analytic univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , and thus  $f$  is stable univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , in view of Theorem 3.2. Hence  $f$  is also univalent, as desired.  $\square$

From Corollary 2.2 we obtain the following sufficient condition for a pluriharmonic mapping to be stable univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a pluriharmonic mapping such that  $h$  is convex (biholomorphic) on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Then  $f$  is stable pluriharmonic univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $f$  is sense-preserving since  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Let  $A$  be a unitary matrix, and let  $f_A = h + A\bar{g}$ . Since  $\omega_{f_A}(z) = \bar{A}\omega_f(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , we deduce that  $\|\omega_{f_A}(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Since  $h$  is convex, it follows in view of Corollary 2.2 that  $f_A$  is univalent. Also, since  $A$  is arbitrary, we deduce that  $f$  is stable univalent, as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* Clearly, any stable pluriharmonic univalent mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  is also univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . However, there exist pluriharmonic univalent mappings on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  which are not stable univalent. To see this, let  $h, g : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be given by (cf. [8])

$$h(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2 + \frac{1}{6}\zeta^3}{(1-\zeta)^3} \quad \text{and} \quad g(\zeta) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\zeta^2 + \frac{1}{6}\zeta^3}{(1-\zeta)^3}, \quad |\zeta| < 1.$$

Then

$$h(\zeta) + g(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta + \frac{1}{3}\zeta^3}{(1-\zeta)^3}, \quad |\zeta| < 1.$$

The above relation implies that  $|h(r) + g(r)| > \frac{r}{(1-r)^2}$  for  $r \in (0, 1)$ , and thus  $h + g \notin S$ . However, the Koebe harmonic function  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{U}$  (see e.g. [5]). Now, let  $H(z) = (h(z_1), \dots, h(z_n))$  and  $G(z) = (g(z_1), \dots, g(z_n))$  for  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Also, let  $F = H + \bar{G}$ . It is clear that that  $H + G$  is not biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , in view of the fact that  $h + g$  is not univalent on  $\mathbb{U}$ . Taking into account Theorem 3.2, we deduce that  $f$  is not stable univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . On the other hand, since  $F(z) = (f(z_1), \dots, f(z_n))$  for  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , and  $f$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{U}$ , it follows that  $F$  is also univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

Next, we prove the following result related to the univalence of the analytic part of a stable pluriharmonic univalent mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  be a stable pluriharmonic univalent mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  such that  $h$  is locally biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Then  $h$  is biholomorphic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $h$  is not univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Then there exist two distinct points  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{B}^n$  such that  $h(z_1) = h(z_2)$ . By considering  $\tilde{f} = (h \circ \varphi_1 - h(z_1)) + \overline{(g \circ \varphi_1 - g(z_1))}$ , where  $\varphi_1 \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}^n)$  with  $\varphi_1(0) = z_1$ , we may assume that  $z_1 = h(z_1) = 0$  and  $g(z_1) = 0$ .

Since  $h(0) = g(0) = 0$  and  $F_U = h + U\bar{g}$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  for any unitary matrix  $U$ , we obtain that for all  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\|h(z)\| \neq \|g(z)\|$ . Using the continuity of  $\|h(z)\| - \|g(z)\|$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and the assumption that  $h(z_2) = h(z_1) = 0$ , we have

$\|h(z)\| < \|g(z)\|$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $h$  is locally biholomorphic, there exists  $z_3 \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $h(tz_3) \neq 0$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . From  $\|h(tz_3)\| < \|g(tz_3)\|$  we have  $\|Dh(0)z_3 + O(t)\| < \|Dg(0)z_3 + O(t)\|$ . Letting  $t \rightarrow +0$  in this inequality, we have  $\|Dh(0)z_3\| \leq \|Dg(0)z_3\|$ . Therefore, we have  $\|w\| \leq \|\omega_f(0)w\|$ , where  $w = Dh(0)z_3 \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction. Thus,  $h$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We next consider the notion of stable strongly close-to-convexity for pluriharmonic mappings on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and relate this notion to that of holomorphic close-to-convexity.

The following notion is due to Suffridge [12]. Note that any close-to-convex mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  is also biholomorphic (see [12] and [7]).

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a holomorphic mapping. We say that  $f$  is close-to-convex if there exists a convex (biholomorphic) mapping  $h$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  such that

$$\Re \langle Df(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}(u), u \rangle > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}^n, \quad \|u\| = 1.$$

The above notion may be extended to the case of mappings of class  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a mapping of class  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . We say that  $f$  is strongly close-to-convex if there exists a convex (biholomorphic) mapping  $h$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \Re \langle D_z f(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}(u) + D_{\bar{z}} f(z)[\overline{Dh(z)}]^{-1}(\bar{u}), u \rangle > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}^n, \quad \|u\| = 1.$$

It is clear that a mapping  $f \in H(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is strongly close-to-convex if and only if  $f$  is close-to-convex in the sense of Definition 3.7.

We next prove that any  $C^1$  strongly close-to-convex mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  is univalent (see [4] and [10] in the case  $n = 1$ ).

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a  $C^1$  strongly close-to-convex mapping. Then  $f$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is strongly close-to-convex, there exists a convex (biholomorphic) mapping  $h$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  such that the relation (3.1) holds. Let  $\Delta = h(\mathbb{B}^n)$  and  $q : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be given by  $q = f \circ h^{-1}$ . Then  $q$  is of class  $C^1$  on  $\Delta$  and it is easy to see that

$$\Re \langle D_w q(w)(u) + D_{\bar{w}} q(w)(\bar{u}), u \rangle > 0, \quad w \in \Delta, \quad \|u\| = 1.$$

Now, let  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  be arbitrary points in  $\Delta$  such that  $w_1 \neq w_2$ . Then  $w(t) = (1-t)w_1 + tw_2 \in \Delta$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , by the convexity of  $\Delta$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\langle q(w_2) - q(w_1), \frac{w_2 - w_1}{\|w_2 - w_1\|^2} \right\rangle = \int_0^1 \Re \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} q(w(t)), \frac{w_2 - w_1}{\|w_2 - w_1\|^2} \right\rangle \\ & = \int_0^1 \Re \left\langle D_w q(w(t)) \left( \frac{w_2 - w_1}{\|w_2 - w_1\|} \right) + D_{\bar{w}} q(w(t)) \left( \frac{\bar{w}_2 - \bar{w}_1}{\|w_2 - w_1\|} \right), \frac{w_2 - w_1}{\|w_2 - w_1\|} \right\rangle > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $q(w_1) \neq q(w_2)$ , and thus  $q$  is univalent on  $\Delta$ . Hence  $f = q \circ h$  is also univalent on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.10.* (i) It is not difficult to deduce that if  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is a pluriharmonic mapping such that  $h$  is convex (biholomorphic) on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , then  $f$  is strongly-close-to-convex.

Indeed, the condition (3.1) reduces to

$$1 + \Re \langle Dg(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}(u), \bar{u} \rangle > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}^n, \quad \|u\| = 1.$$

Since  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$ , the above condition holds, as desired.

(ii) If  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a sense-preserving harmonic mapping such that  $h$  is convex, then  $f$  is close-to-convex, in view of [4, Theorem 5.17].

(iii) Let  $f = h + \bar{g} : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a harmonic mapping such that  $h$  is convex. If  $f$  is strongly close-to-convex with respect to  $h$ , then  $f$  is also close-to-convex.

Indeed, the condition (3.1) reduces to

$$1 + \Re \left[ u^2 \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} \right] > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad \|u\| = 1.$$

Hence  $|\omega_f(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , and thus  $f$  is sense-preserving. In view of Remark 3.10 (ii), it follows that  $f$  is close-to-convex, as desired.

Now, we may define the notion of stable strongly close-to-convexity for pluriharmonic mappings on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  (cf. [8]).

**Definition 3.11.** Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  be a sense-preserving pluriharmonic mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . We say that  $f$  is stable pluriharmonic strongly close-to-convex (with respect to a biholomorphic convex mapping  $H$ ) if all mappings  $f_A = h + A\bar{g}$ , where  $A$  is a unitary matrix, are strongly close-to-convex (with respect to  $H$ ) on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

We also say that the analytic mapping  $h + g$  is stable close-to-convex (with respect to a biholomorphic convex mapping  $H$ ) on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  if all mappings  $F_A = h + Ag$ , where  $A$  is a unitary matrix, are close-to-convex (with respect to  $H$ ) on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  be a pluriharmonic univalent mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  such that  $h$  is convex (biholomorphic) on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ . Then  $f$  is stable pluriharmonic strongly close-to-convex. Also,  $h + g$  is stable analytic close-to-convex.

*Proof.* Let  $f_A = h + A\bar{g}$ , where  $A$  is a unitary matrix. Since  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , it is easy to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \langle D_z f_A(z) [Dh(z)]^{-1}(w) + D_{\bar{z}} f_A(z) \overline{[Dh(z)]^{-1}(\bar{w})}, w \rangle \\ &= 1 + \Re \langle A \overline{\omega_f(z)}(w), w \rangle > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}^n, \quad \|w\| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h$  is convex, it follows that  $f_A$  is strongly close-to-convex with respect to  $h$ , as desired.

The fact that  $h + Ag$  is close-to-convex with respect to  $h$  follows in the same manner as above.  $\square$

We can prove the converse of Theorem 3.12 (compare [8] for  $n = 1$ ).

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  be a pluriharmonic univalent mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  such that  $h$  is convex (biholomorphic) on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . If  $f$  is stable pluriharmonic strongly close-to-convex with respect to  $h$  or  $h + g$  is stable analytic close-to-convex with respect to  $h$ , then  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$  be fixed. There exists  $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|w\| = 1$  such that  $\|\omega_f(z)\| = \|\omega_f(z)(w)\|$ . We may assume that  $\|\omega_f(z)\| > 0$ . Assume that  $f$  is stable pluriharmonic strongly close-to-convex with respect to  $h$ . Let  $f_A = h + A\bar{g}$ , where  $A$  is a unitary matrix such that

$$-\frac{\overline{\omega_f(z)}(w)}{\|\omega_f(z)(w)\|} = A^*w.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \langle D_z f_A(z)[Dh(z)]^{-1}(w) + D_{\bar{z}} f_A(z)[\overline{Dh(z)}]^{-1}(\bar{w}), w \rangle \\ & = 1 + \Re \langle A\overline{\omega_f(z)}(\bar{w}), w \rangle = 1 - \|\omega_f(z)(w)\| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that  $\|\omega_f(z)\| < 1$ , as desired.  $\square$

We next prove that there is an equivalence between stable pluriharmonic strongly close-to-convexity and stable analytic close-to-convexity on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  (compare [8] for  $n = 1$ ; see also [4] and [5]).

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  be a pluriharmonic univalent mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Let  $H$  be a biholomorphic convex mapping on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Then  $f$  is stable strongly pluriharmonic close-to-convex with respect to  $H$  if and only if  $F = h + g$  is stable analytic close-to-convex with respect to  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is stable pluriharmonic strongly close-to-convex with respect to  $H$ . Let  $F_A = h + Ag$ , where  $A$  is an arbitrary unitary matrix. Let  $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|w\| = 1$  be fixed. There exists a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $A^*w = \overline{U^*w}$ . Since the pluriharmonic mapping  $f_U = h + U\bar{g}$  is strongly close-to-convex with respect to  $H$ , we obtain that

$$\Re \langle D_z f_U(z)[DH(z)]^{-1}(w) + D_{\bar{z}} f_U(z)[\overline{DH(z)}]^{-1}(\bar{w}), w \rangle > 0.$$

However, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \langle D_z f_U(z)[DH(z)]^{-1}(w) + D_{\bar{z}} f_U(z)[\overline{DH(z)}]^{-1}(\bar{w}), w \rangle \\ & = \Re \langle Dh(z)[DH(z)]^{-1}(w), w \rangle + \Re \langle U\overline{Dg(z)}[\overline{DH(z)}]^{-1}(\bar{w}), w \rangle \\ & = \Re \langle Dh(z)[DH(z)]^{-1}(w), w \rangle + \Re \langle Dg(z)[DH(z)]^{-1}(w), \overline{U^*w} \rangle \\ & = \Re \langle Dh(z)[DH(z)]^{-1}(w), w \rangle + \Re \langle ADg(z)[DH(z)]^{-1}(w), w \rangle \\ & = \Re \langle DF_A(z)[DH(z)]^{-1}(w), w \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\Re \langle DF_A(z)[DH(z)]^{-1}(w), w \rangle > 0.$$

Thus,  $F$  is stable analytic close-to-convex with respect to  $H$ .

The converse part can be proved by an argument similar to the above.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.M. Anderson, J. Becker and J. Gevirtz, *First-order univalence criteria, interior chord-arc conditions, and quasidisks*, Michigan Math. J., **56** (2008), 623–636.
- [2] M. Chuaqui, P. Duren and B. Osgood, *Two-point distortion theorems for harmonic mappings*, Illinois J. Math., **53** (2009), 1061–1075.
- [3] M. Chuaqui and R. Hernández, *Univalent harmonic mappings and linearly connected domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **332** (2007), 1189–1194.
- [4] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I, **9** (1984), 3–25.
- [5] P. Duren, *Harmonic mappings in the plane*, Cambridge Univ. Press., 2004.
- [6] P. Duren, H. Hamada and G. Kohr, *Two-point distortion theorems for harmonic and pluriharmonic mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **363** (2011), 6197–6218.
- [7] I. Graham and G. Kohr, *Geometric function theory in one and higher dimensions*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2003.
- [8] R. Hernández and M.J. Martín, *Stable geometric properties of analytic and harmonic functions*, preprint.
- [9] D. Kalaj, *Quasiconformal harmonic mappings and close-to-convex domains*, Filomat, **24** (2010), 63–68.

- [10] P.T. Mocanu, *Sufficient conditions of univalence for complex functions in the class  $C^1$* , J. Anal. Numer. Theor. Approx., **10** (1981), 75–79.
- [11] Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [12] T.J. Suffridge, *Starlikeness, convexity and other geometric properties of holomorphic maps in higher dimensions*, Lecture Notes Math., **599** (1977), 146–159, Springer-Verlag, New York.

FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, P. UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE, CASILLA 306, SANTIAGO 22, CHILE

*E-mail address:* `mchuaqui@mat.puc.cl`

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, KYUSHU SANGYO UNIVERSITY, 3-1 MATSUKADAI 2-CHOME, HIGASHI-KU FUKUOKA 813-8503, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* `h.hamada@ip.kyusan-u.ac.jp`

UNIVERSIDAD ADOLFO IBÁÑEZ, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS Y TECNOLOGÍA, AV. PADRE HURTADO 750, VIÑA DEL MAR, CHILE

*E-mail address:* `rodrigo.hernandez@uai.cl`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, BABEŞ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, 1 M. KOGĂLNICEANU STR., 400084 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA

*E-mail address:* `gkohr@math.ubbcluj.ro`